

**BOARD BILL NO. 104 INTRODUCED BY ALDERWOMAN LYDA KREWSON**

An ordinance pertaining to the Airport Authority of The City of St. Louis (“City”) repealing Ordinance 56014, approved November 2, 1971, which pertained to renaming that certain public airport and fields owned by City and located in St. Louis County, Missouri to “Lambert-St. Louis International Airport”; amending Section 18.04.010 of the Revised Code, City of St. Louis, 1994, Anno., in order to change the name of the public airport and landing field to “St. Louis International Airport at Lambert Field”; and containing a severability clause.

**BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS AS FOLLOWS:**

**SECTION ONE.** Ordinance No. 56014 approved November 2, 1971, which pertained to renaming that certain public airport and fields owned by The City of St. Louis and located in St. Louis County, Missouri to “Lambert- St. Louis International Airport”, is hereby repealed.

**SECTION TWO.** Section 18.04.010, Lambert-St. Louis International Airport of the Revised Code, City of St. Louis, 1994, Anno., which provides as follows:

The name of the public airport and landing field owned by the City and located in the county of St. Louis, state of Missouri, is changed to “Lambert-St. Louis International Airport.” is hereby deleted in its entirety and the following is substituted in its place:

**18.04.010 St. Louis International Airport at Lambert Field**

The name of the public airport and landing field owned by the City and located in the county of St. Louis, State of Missouri, is changed to “St. Louis International Airport at Lambert Field.”

1           **SECTION THREE.** The sections, conditions, and provisions of this Ordinance or portions  
2           thereof will be severable. If any section, condition, or provision of this Ordinance or portion thereof  
3           contained herein is held invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, such holding will not  
4           invalidate the remaining sections, conditions, or provisions of this Ordinance unless the court finds  
5           the valid sections or provisions of this Ordinance are so essentially and inseparably connected with  
6           and so dependent upon the illegal, unconstitutional or ineffective section or provision that it cannot  
7           be presumed that the Board of Aldermen would have enacted the valid sections or provisions  
8           without the illegal, unconstitutional or ineffective sections or provisions or unless the court finds  
9           that the valid sections or provisions, standing alone, are incomplete and incapable of being executed  
10          in accordance with the legislative intent.